



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,345	06,345 09/01/2004		Mikael Dahlstrom	1103326-0777	1088
7470	7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
WHITE &	CASE LI	LP .		MORRIS, PA	ATRICIA L
PATENT D				ARTIBUT	DARER MUMER
1155 AVEN	UE OF TH	HE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORI	(, NY 10	0036	1625		

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/506,345	DAHLSTROM, MIKAEL
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Patricia L. Morris	1625
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) ☑ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-5,8,10-12,15 and 17-29 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 29 is/are withdrawn is Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,8,10,11,15,17-25,27 and 28 is/are Claim(s) 12 and 26 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or and pend 50 and 50 are subjected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11/04 is/are: a) A according to the drawing(s) filed on 11/04 is/are: a)	from consideration. e rejected. or election requirement. er.	Examiner.
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, 8, 10-12, 15 and 17-28 are under consideration in this application.

Claim 29 is held withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected subject matter 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on February 23, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17-25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4, 5, 10 and 21-24 lack antecedent basis for the recited limitations. Claims 1 and 18 fail to clearly claim what is intended by applicants since the claims do not recite any pka values.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17-25, 27 and 28 contain trade names omeprazole and esomeprazole. Where a trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trade name. In the present case, the trade names are used to identify/describe chemical structures of the compounds and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite. Only the IUPAC name defines the structures of chemical compounds.

The claims measure the invention. United Carbon Co. V. Binney & Smith Co., 55 USPQ 381 at 384, col. 1, end of 1st paragraph, Supreme Court of the United States (1942).

The C.C.P.A. in 1978 held "that invention is the subject matter defined by the claims submitted by the applicant. We have consistently held that no applicant should have limitations of the specification read into a claim where no express statement of the limitation is included in the claim": In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, at 15.

Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1 and 18 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 17, 19-25, 27 and 28 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia L. Morris
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

plm

April 6, 2006